

On the meaningfulness of data in product design for lifetime optimization

Original

On the meaningfulness of data in product design for lifetime optimization / Fiore, Eleonora; Bourgeois, J.. - STAMPA. - 1:(2017), pp. 133-138. (Intervento presentato al convegno PLATE 2017 Product Lifetimes and the Environment tenutosi a Delft (NL) nel 8-10 November, 2017) [10.3233/978-1-61499-820-4-133].

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2693596 since: 2017-11-24T14:23:53Z

Publisher:

IOS Press

Published

DOI:10.3233/978-1-61499-820-4-133

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

PLATE

Product Lifetimes And The Environment

Conference Proceedings | 8-10 November, 2017 | Delft, NL



Edited by:
Conny Bakker
Ruth Mugge

Published by:
IOS Press BV

 **TU**Delft

PLATE 2017 Conference Proceedings

Editors: Conny Bakker
Ruth Mugge
Editorial support: Flora Poppelaars
Graphic design: Bas Lammers
English corrections: Simon Immerzeel Vara
Sonja van Dam
PLATE logo: Chenwei Wang

© 2017 Delft University of Technology and IOS Press. All rights reserved.

This book is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License. No part of this conference proceedings may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronical or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any other storage and retrieval system.

ISBN 978-1-61499-819-8 (print)
ISBN 978-1-61499-820-4 (online)

Publisher
IOS Press BV
Nieuwe Hemweg 6b
1013 BG Amsterdam
The Netherlands
tel: +31-20-688 3355
fax: +31-20-687 0019
email: info@iospress.nl
www.iospress.nl

LEGAL NOTICE

The publisher is not responsible for the use which might be made of the following information.

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

Editorial

Product lifetimes are of critical importance in the debate on circular economy, resource efficiency, waste reduction, and low carbon strategies for sustainability. Consequently, the environmental, economic, and social challenges related to product lifetimes are gaining interest among academics of different disciplines, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and other companies, government bodies, and policy stakeholders. To successfully tackle the challenges related to product lifetimes, it is important to tackle the topic from multiple perspectives and thus to share knowledge and expertise of different disciplines, such as design, business management, economics, marketing, consumer behaviour, sociology, anthropology, and politics.

The Product Lifetime and the Environment (PLATE) conference originated from the desire to bring together academic researchers, industry, and policy stakeholders working in the field of sustainability in order to benefit from each other's knowledge and further advance the field. In November 2017, the 2nd PLATE conference was held at the faculty of Industrial Design Engineering of the Delft University of Technology in the Netherlands. We are delighted to hereby present the conference proceedings of this exceptional three-days event. The 2nd PLATE conference included 88 conference papers, 4 key note presentations, 10 workshops, and a PhD-only session.

Specifically, the papers correspond to the following seven conference themes:

1. Design for product longevity
2. The role of product lifetimes in resource efficiency
3. Product lifetimes optimization
4. Cultural perspectives on the throwaway society
5. Business opportunities, economic implications and marketing strategies
6. Consumer influences on product lifetimes, including repair and reuse
7. Policy, regulation and legislation.

One hundred and forty-five proposals for papers were submitted to the organisers in the form of abstracts. Following a peer review process, 88 papers were finally accepted for publication in the proceedings. We were impressed by the quality of the papers and are grateful to include contributions from researchers from many disciplines and 24 countries across five continents.

As editors of these proceedings, we are pleased to put together this collection of interesting papers on the topic of product lifetimes in the context of sustainability. We are confident that the proceedings will contribute to the academic knowledge in this field as well as advance the debate on this important topic.

Conny Bakker and Ruth Mugge (Editors)

PLATE Academic and Conference Organising Committees

Conference Chairs

Dr Conny Bakker
Dr Ruth Mugge

Local Organising Committee

Prof Tim Cooper (*Advisor to the local organising committee*)
Prof Ruud Balkenende
Dr Nancy Bocken
Dr Ellis van den Hende
Prof Erik Jan Hultink
Dr Lise Magnier
Prof Jan Schoormans
Dr David Peck

Scientific Advisory Committee

Prof Ruud Balkenende (*Netherlands*)
Prof John Barrett (*UK*)
Prof Margaret Bates (*UK*)
Prof Tracy Bhamra (*UK*)
Prof Casper Boks (*Norway*)
Prof Maria Bovea (*Spain*)
Prof Brian Burns (*Canada*)
Prof Tim Cooper (*UK*)
Prof Jonathan Chapman (*UK*)
Dr Rebecca Collins (*UK*)
Prof Ichiro Daigo (*Japan*)
Dr Danielle Densley-Tingley (*UK*)
Prof Kate Fletcher (*UK*)
Dr Alison Gwilt (*UK*)
Dr Vicky Lofthouse (*UK*)
Dr Ana Mestre (*UK*)
Dr Paul Nieuwenhuis (*UK*)
Dr Kirsi Niinimäki (*Finland*)
Dr Nils Nissen (*Germany*)
Dr Masahiro Oguchi (*Japan*)
Dr Miles Park (*Australia*)
Dr Valentina Rognoli (*Italy*)
Dr Mark Sumner (*UK*)
Prof Michael Waldman (*USA*)

Table of Contents

Consumers' attitudes towards product care: an exploratory study of motivators, ability factors and triggers Ackermann L., Mugge R. and Schoormans J.	1
Developing a quantitative research method on planned obsolescence in architecture Akyurek K.B. and Ciravoğlu A.	5
Smart-circular systems: a service business model perspective Alcayaga A. and Hansen E.G.	10
Design for sharing: libraries of things as a product-service system Ameli N.	14
Uniquely for you: the individualised avenue for longer product lifetimes Armellini J. and Ford P.	20
"Crafting the waste" as a stimulus to collaborative learning and collective production: an example from Turkey Atalay D.	25
Sustainable product management by integrating physical and digital lifecycles Baumgartner R.	30
Decontaminating experiences with circular offerings Baxter W., Aurisicchio M., Mugge R. and Childs P.	32
Personalisation from a design practice perspective Bernabei R. and Power J.	37
Business experiments as an approach to drive sustainable consumption: the case of HOMIE Bocken N.M.P., Bom C.A. and Lemstra H.J.	41
Assessing the sharing economy: analyzing ecologies of business models Boons F. and Bocken N.	46
Repair vs. replacement: what is the best alternative for household small electric and electronic equipment? Bovea M.D., Ibáñez-Forés V. and Pérez-Belis V.	51
Understanding material change: design for appropriate product lifetimes Bridgens B. and Lilley D.	54
Satisfaction matters: design that learns from users' sensory and emotional responses to clothing Burcikova M.	60
Consumer and user acceptance in the circular economy: what are researchers missing? Camacho-Otero J., Pettersen I.N. and Boks C.	65
Towards more circular office fit-outs: a socio-technical descriptive framework of office fit-out processes Casas-Arredondo M., Croxford B. and Domenech T.	70
A double diffusion of innovations: the case of electric automobility product service system Catulli M., Cook M. and Potter S.	76
Is ownership the issue? The role of responsibility in determining public acceptance of product-services systems Cherry C.E. and Pidgeon N.F.	80
Carative factors to guide design development process for object-owner detachment in enabling an object's longevity Choi Y., Stevens J. and Brass C.	84

Pilling in knitwear: a clothing longevity problem beyond design	89
Claxton S., Cooper T., Goworek H., Hill H., McLaren A. and Oxborrow L.	
New product development and testing strategies for clothing longevity: an overview of a UK research study	94
Cooper T., Oxborrow L., Claxton S., Goworek H., Hill H. and McLaren A.	
Dimensions of sustainable behaviour in a circular economy context	98
Daae J., Chamberlin L. and Boks C.	
The circular pathfinder: development and evaluation of a practice-based tool for selecting circular design strategies	102
van Dam S.S., Bakker C.A., de Pauw I. and van der Grinten B.	
Developing scenarios for product longevity and sufficiency	108
Dewberry E.L., Sheldrick L., Sinclair M., Moreno M. and Makatsoris C.	
Transforming and prolonging design lifespans: design education cases for sustainability	114
Doğan Ç.	
Clothing fit and sizing for women can be improved to increase the lifespan and durability by including the bust cup size as a new independent measurement	120
Dove T.	
Playing for time: seven practice-led workshop tools for making design decisions to extend the life of fashion textile materials and products	127
Earley R. and Goldsworthy K.	
On the meaningfulness of data in product design for lifetime optimization	133
Fiore E. and Bourgeois J.	
Strategies for food longevity	139
van Genuchten E.J.S., Mulder I.J. and Schaaf N.	
Consumer perspectives on product lifetimes: a national study of lifetime satisfaction and purchasing factors	144
Gnanapragasam A., Cooper T., Cole C. and Oguchi M.	
Conditional garment design for longevity	149
Gwilt A. and Pal R.	
Design framework for emotionally durable products and services	154
Haines-Gadd M., Chapman J., Lloyd P., Mason J. and Aliakseyeu D.	
The circular economy fashion communication canvas	161
Han S.L.-C., Henninger C.E., Blanco-Velo J., Apeagyei P. and Tyler D.J.	
Sustainability Cards: design for longevity	166
Hasling K.M. and Ræbild U.	
Circular added value: business model design in the circular economy	171
Hofmann F., Marwede M., Nissen, N. F. and Lang, K. D.	
Sustainable consumption through product longevity: the influence of enhanced product lifetime information on purchasing electrical appliances among German consumers	178
Jacobs K.	
What's hot what's not: the social construction of product obsolescence and its relevance for strategies to increase functionality	181
Jaeger-Erben M. and Proske M.	



On the meaningfulness of data in product design for lifetime optimization

Fiore E.^(a) and Bourgeois J.^(b)

a) Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy

b) Department of Design Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

Keywords

Planned obsolescence
Product design
Maintenance
IoT
Data

Abstract

Planned obsolescence is generally considered as a negative business strategy that induces replacement needs and affects attachment dynamics, as opposed to the goal of elongating product lifetime. At the present, however, an early replacement of long-lasting products is preferred in at least two cases which can be addressed during the design stage i.e. when the cost of maintaining is higher than product benefits and when there are environmental reasons to replace obsolete products. Furthermore, designing meaningful products that help the user in his/her daily activities, while addressing environmental issues, could help affecting attachment even in standardized and utilitarian products, such as home appliances. In this study, the holistic view and the management of the complexity of Systemic Design, combined with the use of the IoT technologies are proposed using the refrigerator as a case study. Acquiring information is considered as a tool for product innovation; the data is divided into (i) static data, related to the product and (ii) dynamic data, which derive from the context of use and interaction with users. The latter can be acquired by investigating the object's daily use and environment, with data acquisition through quantitative tools (sensors) and qualitative ones (feedback, questionnaires, interviews). IoT and data retrieval open a variety of possibilities in monitoring, accessing more precise knowledge of products and households useful for design purposes. This paper seeks to demonstrate how IoT can support and trigger a design transition towards more durable products and components, by focusing on sustainability and simplifying people's lives in daily actions.

Introduction

Planned obsolescence is a well-established business strategy whereby the product is designed to lose value rapidly (Agrawal et al. 2016; The Economist, 2009; Aladeojebi, 2013). It generally occurs indeed when manufacturers deliberately accelerate product lifecycle by introducing new features or technological improvements and by stimulating fashion changes (Mugge et al. 2005) which in turn negatively affects the experienced attachment to the currently owned product and induces replacement need. Both object obsolescence and replacement could be fostered when the product shows a deteriorated appearance or an aesthetic wear, e.g. when objects could not return to their original appearance even after cleaning. The aesthetic diminishes (shiny surfaces become dull) and the product goes out of fashion, (Byrnes, 2010). As Papanek (1985) claimed, product obsolescence occurs both aesthetically and technically. We could also add functionally. Technological obsolescence is dictated by manufacturers and occurs when new products make the previous ones incompatible. At any time, indeed, the manufacturer of connected objects may decide to stop running its product, e.g. ceasing the updates and making

it obsolete in no time. It may also happen that the object experiences a decline in performances. In this case, the object is felt as outdated by a specific target, but it can still be seen as satisfying by other users. Industrial product design should be challenged and reconsidered to address environmental sustainability issues, such as elongating life spans of products, developing products adaptable to local and regional resources and conditions, and enabling product maintenance, repair, upgrading, etc. (Bakırlioğlu and Doğan, 2012). However, these three aspects of obsolescence would be even more challenging if placed into new dynamics and new sustainable business models.

Two issues can be addressed in the early design stage, for which designers are called to anticipate and avoid their occurrences.

Cost of maintaining higher than product benefits

It occurs when the cost for maintaining is higher than product benefits or even unsuitable compared to buying a new product. In this case, we are considering products whose pieces are out of production, the failure of essential

parts such as the engine for the car or parts designed to be non-replaceable. Designers should, therefore, anticipate this undesirable effect, by designing products that can always be updated, disassembled, repaired and maintained. Moreover, in addition to the product, both designer and companies should envision the spare parts network and consider services related to the upgrading operations. We should necessarily avoid and delay the replacement of a product when it still works and the user still wants to use it.

Environmental reasons to replace obsolete products

While planned obsolescence is generally considered a negative strategy, in the specific case of durable goods (e.g. main appliances, vehicles, heating systems) extending the product lifetime is not always a sustainable choice. Replacement of obsolete products could be motivated by environmental reasons and could be subsidized by tax incentives to speed up the removal of such products from the economy, pushing the consumer to replace his old devices in favor of more sustainable or less harmful ones. As Mugge et al. (2005) suggested, a sensible evaluation of the environmental desirability of early replacement compared to extending product longevity can be performed evaluating the interrelations among three parameters: (i) the initial environmental impact of the replacement product, (ii) the possible improvement of energy efficiency, (iii) the expected usage time. Once again, the designer can do his job by anticipating and doing research before making design decisions, considering the harmfulness of the materials and gases involved. Upgradability and the choice of a modular design could allow the replacement of a technologically obsolete part, preserving the operation of the product.

Research focus: home appliances

We look specifically at everyday objects, in which an interaction between people and technology is expected. At present for products with a relatively high energy-efficient improvement, early replacement is preferred over product longevity (Mugge et al., 2005). Home appliances are addressed in this paper as a case study example of criticalities listed above, adding to these also disassembly issues because, although the volume of this e-waste in people's perception is overestimated, it still accounts for about 2% of the landfill space (Zimring and Rathje, 2012). Appliances have a recovery rate of 57% (Center for Sustainable Systems, 2016) as they are mainly made of metals, however, cannot establish what happens to the 43% non-recyclable part. Another aspect to consider is that home appliances are considered as utilitarian, standardised products, unable to trigger attachment dynamics (Mugge et al., 2005), whose purchase occurs almost exclusively for functional reasons. However, since appliances are a means to do a task faster or easier, there is room for improving performances and useful life in the early design stage. The user, indeed, seems to want them to last longer, avoiding wasting money in their early replacement unless specified conditions change. The need for bigger appliances or house remodelling and renovation are among the primary

replacement reasons, together with the demand for new features, while the replacement of a worn-out appliances remains the most common purchase motivation (Mintel, 2016). Considering these long-lasting products, there is room to address the replacement motivations combining them with an extension of the useful life, providing services and maintenance for the products. This scenario could radically change by introducing new strategies and business models such as strategies to reduce product ownership through sharing, remanufacturing activities and so forth. Another strategy can be combined with the previous ones to address the same issue, i.e. extending useful life by redesigning meaningful and high-value products. For example, when a product provides a more efficient and personalized service, the consumer is expected to develop new relationships with it and disposal may be delayed.

Methodology

We defined a methodology (Figure 1) to address the lack of perceived benefits in connected appliances (Accenture Interactive, 2015; GfK, 2016), by shifting the focus from "technology push" solutions, to "need pull" ones. We hypothesized that the current lack of data and experiments to understand the actual use of appliances leads to lost sight of the original aim of them (Fiore et al, 2017), i.e. help the user and simplify daily user operations (Berg, 1994). Thus, we started from the broad research question "how to define a more sustainable home system?" by choosing to focus on the refrigerator. This appliance resulted from a multi-criteria decision process (Fiore et al., 2016), which included the level of interaction with the user and the environmental impact of the product. The refrigerator, though, seems to be an appropriate starting point also as it is the only appliance directly involved in the food waste, cooking and preparation operations and tasks, as well as being the only stand-alone appliance, connected to electricity but not to the water network.




Figure 1. Methodology




Figure 2. An example of a product (a refrigerator) broken-down into its individual components.




Figure 3. Maintenance.

We need to step back and identify which operations the householder should perform and then detect which actions are involved. In this case, the main task we identified is “*storing food at the right temperature to fulfil the expected duration*”. From there, all the other actions such as the door opening derive directly from the design choices that someone in the past has made, namely the decision that the solution should be a refrigerator. Therefore, considering and focus only on that object prevents the designer from questioning on whether the refrigerator is really needed and ‘the growing role of refrigeration in today’s Western food system’ (Kuijter and Bekker, 2015), not considering other agents of change and assuming the availability of that appliance. For that reason, we decided to group into the section ‘case studies and best practices’ high and low-tech solutions that have been given to conservation in general. In parallel, we analysed current refrigerators and we looked for a feasible solution to address the task now. Since the refrigerator object has already been defined, we jump into the product analysis to understand the room for intervention for designers.

Data Retrieval

What was immediately clear to us was the non-privileged designer’s point of view, which does not have the complete overview about the object to design and would require certain data to design, which are currently not available. We can divide the missing information about current products into static data and dynamic ones.

Static Data

Static data comprehend the technical material, such as drawing and model for studying current shapes and dimensions, bill of materials (BOM) to understand how many different materials are involved, the related weights, questioning why designers chose that material (i.e. are there any physical- functional- performance reasons behind the choice?). We also include disassembly or product dissection (Figure 2), in which the product is broken down into its components, understanding the ease

of performing the disassembly and the tool needed. The same analysis made on disassembly can be maintained on maintenance (Figure 3) by considering the ease of access for each part.

Eventually, the functional analysis (Figure 4) allows providing alternatives for each functional group of the current product, understanding which parts are required to perform specific functions, which parts depend on the design solution chosen.

This is a method for a reverse engineering capable of extracting information from a physical object. It could be thus performed on every worn-out object to deconstruct it and understand its functioning through the analysis of its parts, having the possibility to disassemble, measure and observe it. This tool should help designers to question, understand, reconsider each part or group of parts and their function individually.

Dynamic Data

Using the refrigerator as a case study, we implement our methodology through a pilot experiment, highlighting the need for dynamic data related to the real use of refrigerator, i.e. the object in relation to the user. We instrumented two refrigerators over a week with sensors to detect light, energy consumption, inside temperature, humidity and noise, external coil heat dispersion. We assumed power consumption as a reflection of the refrigerator’s activity and the light as a reflection of user interaction (Figure 5),




Figure 4. Functional analysis.

we combine these two indicators with the other variables and eventually we reflected on the data to extract design insights (Fiore and Bourgeois, 2017).

From an in-depth analysis of data broader conclusions are drawn, specifically on the potential benefits of using IoT indicators to detect different situations directly related to the use or object-specific data. The Internet of things (IoT) could help indeed to collect missing information about both the object and its use, to address critical aspects in the design stage thus extending products' lifetime. Below we discuss how the knowledge gained from data and the potential of IoT could become valuable intelligence and can be leveraged in the design stage. IoT data represents a whole new class of information: quantifiable knowledge about the operation and performance of products (Henne, 2015) during the use phase.

Diagnostic, predictive maintenance and user alert

During the first experiment, we detected that energy patterns are highly recognizable, being characterized by activity and inactivity alternate phases. The first conclusion is that with the aid of IoT learning system the refrigerator could alert the user when it experiences energy anomalies, preventing cooling failure, noise and water leaking, up to prevent the refrigerator breaks (Fiore and Bourgeois, 2017). The designer can consider the fridge as a unit, but also investigate group of components or a single part. The design team could consider just one indicator for understanding one aspect of the product or analyse multiple/aggregate indicators to understand and detect more complex dynamics, correlations and patterns. This could be the case of the following three examples considering (i) functional groups (fig. 4), (ii) essential components or (iii) parts that are subject to wear. The first case is a system of parts grouped by a specific function, the second are those parts whose breakup will compromise the whole product functioning, eventually leading to replace it. Some relevant parameters should be defined and verified by measuring them through ad hoc experiments on these components, providing a more precise knowledge about the system. For this reason, both criteria definition and thresholds setting are important decision-making steps. The third case is represented by parts subjected to wear, which can also be monitored with ad hoc experiments, to make their recovery suitable for a second valuable use of components or materials. Data about the real use of a product can be collected for a short time, with an object instrumented for the experiment. Then the R&D or design team could make projections over time of the expected use to determine when the object should be replaced or updated to obtain the maximum value from it. This stage would require analytics to measure and combine data inputs over time (Henne, 2015). Monitoring some parameters of the refrigerator as a form of predictive maintenance could also affect new business models (PTC Inc., 2015) and value-added services throughout the lifecycle, being particularly relevant for the circular economy.

Ongoing Research

Currently, most of the IoT development for everyday applications relies on a technology-push, connecting things and collecting data with a limited use of the information and a poor experience. In the home context, Mennicken and Huang (2012) highlight that smart technologies are those fitting householders' routines, avoiding unnecessary work. We identify five potential steps to extend everyday objects' lifetime by using IoT technologies:

Detect: collecting the information related to the goal to achieve, through means balancing benefits and constraints.

Control: adapting product operation to the specific use or context.

Predict: anticipating and preventing breakdown based on pattern of use.

Communicate: contextual awareness about the potential risks (and reason), providing advice to improve the product lifetime.

Share: product use and operation can be shared and aggregated to detect more complex correlation and patterns of use leading to product breakdown.

These steps must be tested during the next months with a pilot study planned on a larger number of dwellings, using a platform of communication with the user.

Conclusions

This paper seeks to demonstrate how IoT can support and trigger a design transition towards more durable products and components. The proposed strategy is suitable for both current product-centred economy and a future service-centred one. It provides some guidelines and directions for future studies that want to address the extension of the life cycle, based on predictive maintenance while promoting an efficient use of products. IoT and the data collected open a variety of possibilities in monitoring, accessing more precise knowledge of goods and households, useful for design purposes. Many smart interventions can be done on appliances before talking about connected products, pointing out the difference between 'smart' and 'connected'. Among them detect failures in advance, notify, inform, communicate are only a few possibilities and it raises the need for learning systems able to recognise patterns, together with a platform on which to share and communicate directly with the user. Introducing the flow of information in the design process is important to reach the overview on products. Moreover, every designer could question the product in different ways, according to the heterogeneity of the working team. On the one hand, this data collection can lead to improve current products and their maintenance (proactive monitoring, remote control, predictive maintenance), introducing services (meaningful information to the user, interaction with other connected things such as the supermarket card, predictive food shopping). On the other and it could lead to develop new products more focused on sustainability, simplifying people's lives in daily actions.

References

- Accenture Interactive (2015). The Internet of Things: The Future of Consumer Adoption. From <https://www.accenture.com>, accessed on November 22, 2016
- Aladeojebi, T. K. (2013) Planned Obsolescence. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 4(6):1504-1508
- Agrawal, V., Kavadias, S., Toktay, B., (2016) The Limits of Planned Obsolescence. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management* 18(2), pp. 216–226
- Bakırloğlu, Y. and Doğan, Ç. (2012). Biomimicry sketch analysis: a generative tool for sustainability in product design education. In *Sustainable Innovation 2012. Resource Efficiency, Innovation and Lifestyles* pp. 6-15
- Berg, C. (1994) A Gendered Socio-technical Construction: The Smart House. In Cockburn, C. and Furst-Dilic, R. (eds.), *Bringing Technology Home: Gender and Technology in a Changing Europe*, Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Byrnes, A. (2010) Sound judgments. Considering the comparison between theory and practice. In *Sustainability in Design: Now! Proceedings of the LeNS Conference*, 29th September to 1st October 2010, Bangalore, India, Vol.1 pp. 106-112
- Center for Sustainable Systems (2016) Municipal Solid Waste Factsheet. From <http://css.umich.edu/> accessed on April 17, 2017.
- Fiore, E., Tamborrini P. and Norese, M.F. (2016) Designing major appliances: A decision support model. In *Proceedings of Electronics Goes Green 2016+ (EGG)*, Berlin, 7-9 September, 2016.
- Fiore, E., Tamborrini, P., Barbero, S., (2017) Design for Next Connected Appliances. In *Proceedings of 12th EAD Conference* Sapienza University of Rome 12-14 April, 2017
- Fiore, E. and Bourgeois, J. (2017) Data-Driven Product Forensic: Redesign and Evolution of Future Smart Appliances. Under review at *International Journal of Design*
- Gfk, (2016) Realizing the future of the Smart Home with early adopters. From <https://blog.gfk.com>, accessed on July 10, 2016
- Henne, B. (2015) How IoT Data Becomes Valuable Intelligence. From <http://blogs.ptc.com/> accessed on June 20, 2017
- Kuijter, L. and Bekker, C. (2015) Of chalk and cheese: behaviour change and practice theory of sustainable design, *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*, 8:3, 219-230.
- Mennicken, S. and Huang, E., (2012). Hacking the natural habitat: an in-the-wild study of smart homes, their development, and the people who live in them, *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Pervasive Computing (Pervasive '12)* (pp. 143–160). Newcastle, UK: Springer-Verlag.
- Mintel (2016) Home Renovation drive sales of major household appliances: 12% increase in sale 2010-15. From <http://www.mintel.com> accessed on July 26, 2016, f
- Mugge R., Schoormans J.P.L., Schifferstein H.N.J.(2005) Design strategies to postpone consumers' product replacement: The value of a strong person-product relationship. *The Design Journal* 8 (2), 38-48.
- Papanek, V. (1985) *Design for the Real World*. London, Thames & Hudson.
- PTC Inc. (2015). *Connected Product Maturity Model Achieve Innovation with Connected Capabilities*. White Paper. From <https://www.thingworx.com> accessed on May 20, 2017
- Zimring, C. A. and Rathje, W. L. (2012) *Encyclopedia of Consumption and Waste: The Social Science of Garbage*, Vol. 1. Thousand Oaks: SAGE.
- The Economist (2009) Planned Obsolescence. From http://www.economist.com/business/management/displaystory.cfm?story_id=13354332 accessed on February 23, 2017